

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PARKRIDGE LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation, by Mabel Mak, and MABEL MAK, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INDYZEN, INC., a California corporation, and PRAVEEN NARRA KUMAR, an individual,

Defendants.

INDYZEN, INC., a California corporation,

Counter-Plaintiffs,

v.

PARKRIDGE LIMITED, a Hong Kong corporation, by Mabel Mak, BOON GLOBAL LIMITED, a Hong Kong company, F8 VIETNAM COMPANY LIMITED, a Vietnam company, CALIFORNIA FITNESS & YOGA CENTERS COMPANY LIMITED, a Vietnam company, and RANDY DOBSON, an individual,

Counter-Defendants.

Case No. 4:16-cv-07387-JSW

Hon. Jeffrey S. White

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATED MOTION RE PROPOSED
BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR POST-
TRIAL BRIEFS**

This matter having come before the Court on the Parties' Stipulated Motion Re Proposed Briefing Schedule for Post-Trial Briefs, and this Court having reviewed the moving papers, and good cause having been shown:

IT IS ORDERED granting the Stipulated Motion Re Proposed Briefing Schedule for Post-Trial Briefs and adopting the below briefing schedule.

<u>Filing</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
Indyzen's Post-Trial Brief	October 31, 2022
Parkridge's Motion for Directed Verdict and Response to Indyzen's Post-Trial Brief	November 14, 2022
Indyzen's Reply in support of Indyzen's Post-Trial Brief and Indyzen's Response to Parkridge's Motion for Directed Verdict	December 5, 2022
Parkridge's Reply in support of Parkridge's Motion for Directed Verdict and Parties to lodge Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	December 19, 2022

Dated: October 31, 2022


 HONORABLE JEFFREY S. WHITE
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE